PATENT

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date set forth below to: Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date of Signature and Deposit: October 03, 2007

Joel A. Austin/ Joel A. Austin, Reg. No. 59,712

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Henry J. Knott Application No.: 10/577,534 Conf. No.: 9594

Filing Date: April 27, 2006

Title: FASTENER PRE-STRESSING JOINT

Art Unit: 3679

Examiner: Ernesto Garcia

Response to Restriction Requirement

Commissioner For Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Attn: Mail Stop Amendment

Sir:

In response to the Office action containing a restriction requirement mailed September 05, 2007, the Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement for the reasons that follow.

First, the Applicant notes that text appears to be missing from the top of page 4 of the Office action.

Second, the Office action states that Figures 1A, 1B, 2A, 3, and $\underline{4}$ are prior art. The Applicant maintains that Figure 4 is <u>not</u> prior art. Figure 4 depicts an additional species of the invention, leading to the next point.

Ser. No. 10/577,534 Henry J. Knott Page 2 of 3

Third, the Office action states that the application includes the following two species:

- I. Figure 5 (including claims 1, 2, 4, and 8); and
- II. Figure 6 (including claims 1, 2, 5-7, and 8).

The Applicant respectfully submits that the invention includes the following three species:

- I. Figure 5 (including claims 1, 2, 4, and 8);
- II. Figure 6 (including claims 1, 2, 5-7, and 8); and
- III. Figure 4 (including claims 1, 2, 3, and 8).

Fourth, the Office action states that claims 1, 2, and 8 are generic. The Applicant submits that claims 1, 2, and 8 are generic to species I and II, and species III.

If the above three species designations are acceptable, the Applicant elects species III, directed toward Figure 4 and claims 1, 2, 3, and 8.

Should the Examiner maintain the original restriction requirement, the Applicant elects species I, directed to Figure 5 and claims 1, 2, 4 and 8, and requests that Figure 4 and claim 3 also be included in this group.

Lastly, the Applicant respectfully disagrees with the assessment of the prior art presented in the Office action. Specifically, the bending stress induced by the joint being substantially inversely proportional to a bending stress induced in the plane of bending by a maximum application load that the fastener shank is subject to is <u>not</u> known from the prior art Figure 1B. Figure 1B illustrates the shank of a fastener subjected to only an <u>axial</u> load.

Ser. No. 10/577,534 Henry J. Knott Page 3 of 3

No fees are believed due; however, if any fees are due the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge them to Deposit Account No. 17-0055.

Respectfully submitted, Henry J. Knott

By: /Joel A. Austin/
Joel A. Austin
Reg. No. 59,712
Attorney for Applicant
Quarles & Brady LLP
411 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53202
(414) 277-5617

MKE/6197124